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Abstract

Concept mapping, a tool originally developed to facilitate student learning by organizing and visualizing key concepts and their relationships, can also facilitate communication and knowledge sharing among faculty and administrators about the composition of courses. In this paper, the authors describe a specific application of visualizing the knowledge contained in an introductory programming course of an undergraduate Computer Information Systems program. The authors show how representing the knowledge structure of a course as a network of concepts using a concept map can enable the faculty to assess the breadth and depth of the knowledge imparted through the course. The authors discuss how a concept map that depicts the composition of a course can function as a useful instructional tool to assess and improve the quality of instruction that may enable meaningful learning among students.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Any person who wishes to reason about his/her world comes across an inescapable fact that reasoning is a process that goes on in the mind of the person, while the very thing she/he wishes to reason exists outside the mind. This unavoidable dichotomy is the fundamental reason as to why we need some form of representation, or model, of the world that we need to reason about. This representation exists as a substitute for the real thing that we wish to reason about. Any operation that we wish to perform on the real thing can be performed on the representation and reasoning itself will be the surrogate for the action that we want to perform on the real thing.

In this paper our focus is on creating a representation model for the knowledge contained in an introductory programming course and to use this representation to reason and draw inferences about various structural attributes of the knowledge contained in a course.

In order to be able to use a knowledge model one needs to clearly know the intended purpose of this model, and the attributes of the real world that this model incorporates. In this paper the proposed knowledge representation model intends to model the knowledge contained in the course, as a network of concepts that the learner should master to result in a meaningful learning experience.
By selecting a knowledge representation model - which models a course as a network of concepts, we make an 'ontological commitment' that brings to focus a certain aspect of the course and blurs several other facets of the course. For example, the knowledge model does not model all the course contents explicitly, nor does it model the time required to learn concepts, all of which are important facets in the design of a course and will depend on the specific teaching context. The proposed knowledge representation model purely focuses on the skeletal structure of the knowledge base of the course.

Different forms of knowledge representations, known as 'ontologies', have been extensively used to model domain knowledge for teaching and learning purposes, primarily in the area of Personalized Learning Systems (Brusilovsky et al., 2004(a)) (Brusilovsky et al., 2004(b)) (Lee & Segev, 2012). According to one of the definitions "an ontology is a hierarchically structured set of terms for describing a domain that can be used as a skeletal foundation for a knowledge base" (Swartout et al., 1997). The function of an ontology is very similar to that of a taxonomy that classifies and organizes knowledge components in a given subject area.

Ontologies have been used to provide common vocabulary for query retrieval in a case-based recommendation strategy for personalized access to learning objects (LOs) in a learning system (Gomez & Diaz, 2009) (Brusilovsky et al., 2004(a)) (Brusilovsky et al., 2004(b)) (Lee & Segev, 2012) (Wang & Mendori, 2012). In such learning systems, the intent of the knowledge representation model is to enable efficient search and retrieval of learning objects for different types of courses. Therefore, what we observe is a knowledge model that depicts a tree-like organization of the concepts. For example, Appendix A, shows a hierarchical tree like structure used to create an ontology for the C Programming language (Sosonovsky & Gavrilova, 2006). A five-step algorithm has been proposed by Gavrilova et. al, to develop teaching Ontologies (Gavrilova et al., 2005). This algorithm has also been used to develop teaching Ontologies for Java programming language (Ganapati et al., 2011). In all these examples, the Ontology is characterized by identifying and organizing atomic units in the form of a tree-like hierarchy. However, arranging the concepts/atomic units of learning in a tree-like structure hides the cross-links that may exist among various leaves of the tree. In this paper, we propose a generalized network-like structure of organizing concepts, with an emphasis on visually representing the cross-links that may link one concept to another.

To organize and represent the key concepts of a course in a network-like manner, we propose to use a concept map based approach. The design methodology of concept maps was first introduced by Joseph Novak by re-examining Ausubel’s learning theory that differentiates rote learning from meaningful learning (Ausubel, 1963) (Ausubel, 1968). The fundamental idea in Ausubel’s cognitive psychology is that meaningful learning occurs by the assimilation of new concepts and propositions into the existing conceptual frameworks held by the learner (Ausubel, et.al, 1978). Novak argues that knowledge construction is nothing other than a relatively high level of meaningful learning, and that concepts and propositions are the building blocks for knowledge in any domain (Novak & Gowin, 1984) (Novak, 2002). Novak compares concepts to atoms, and propositions to molecules. Just as molecules are formed by atoms and the valid relationships that bond them, propositions are formed by valid relationships among concepts. For example, consider the two concepts car and engine. The proposition can be an assertive statement such as car has engine. Here the linking word ‘has’ relates the two concepts, car and engine (Novak & Canas, 2008).

![Concept Map Example](http://iscap.info; http://proc.iscap.info)

**Figure 1. Example of depicting a proposition using nodes and links**

Concept maps have been used as a scaffold for cognitive processing of knowledge in a given subject area (O’Donnell, et.al, 2002). Concept maps have found a wide variety of applications in the development of curriculum and instruction (Allen et al., 1993) (Edmonson, 1993). A concept maps may be linked to high level learning objectives to create a ‘finer-grained’ learner model in an introductory programming course (Kumar, 2006). Concept maps have been used to represent the domain model, and also as an overlay student model in the design of tutoring systems (Mabbott & Bull, 2004). Concept mapping exercises and their scoring methods have been developed for testing students’ knowledge in an introductory programming courses (Keppens & Hays, 2008). The scope of this paper does not address the use of concept
map as a way to measure the learner’s ability to create a computer program. Neither does the paper address the ability to students to construct a concept map.

In this paper, a concept map is a tool that can be used to organize and represent domain knowledge of an introductory programming course for an undergraduate Computer Information Systems (CIS) program. This course teaches procedural style programming using the Java programming language. The figure from Appendix B, shows a complete concept map developed by the authors. We explain the key design features of this concept map that can help the course instructors and students to understand the inter-relatedness of key concepts covered by course. We introduce some of the course quality attributes that can be inferred from the concept map. We also provide a sample implementation and assessment of using a course concept map to guide the analysis of instruction in a programming course. Finally, we provide a critique on the utility of the proposed concept map as an instructional tool to analyze the composition of the course contents to improve the quality of the course.

2. CONCEPT MAP OF AN INTRODUCTRY PROGRAMMING COURSE

The figure from Appendix B shows the concept map representation created by the authors, for an introductory procedural style, Java programming course of an undergraduate CIS program. The concepts, nodes depicted in the figure are extracted from the syllabus prescribed by the university approved course curriculum. The links that represent the relationship between the concepts were created by the authors to show the relationships between the key concepts.

The figure from Appendix C, shows a partial view of the course syllabus. It can be inferred from the syllabus that there is a great emphasis on using programming concepts to solve problems. Additionally, the syllabus focuses on using case studies to outline the pseudocode problems, for which students are expected to create a programming solution. To represent the relationship that exists between problem solving and program structure, the concept map is divided into two clusters – the concepts that are part of the Problem Structure, and the concepts that that describe the Programming Structure. This high level division follows the common teaching practice of many programs, where students are first made to analyze the problem, write pseudocode, and only then, write the computer program.

2.1 Mapping the Course Composition

As previously mentioned, the intent of the knowledge representation scheme is to map the composition of the course’s subject area as a network of concepts and their interrelatedness. The key concepts to be covered by the course are identified and represented as distinct nodes in the concept map. The next step is to connect these concepts using proper linking phrases that can meaningfully convey the relationship between concepts that the instructor must convey through course contents and the student must learn, to successfully meet the course objectives.

In the concept map shown in the figure from Appendix B, the links between the concepts are primarily labeled using the phrases – ‘is a type of’, and ‘has’. It is typical for course domain ontologies to focus on ‘is-a-type’ and ‘has’/’part-of’ relationships between concepts (Omez-Albarran & Jimenez-Diaz, 2009) (Sosnovsky & Gavrilova, 2006). However, it is to be noted that concepts maps have no restriction on what kind of meaningful phrases that can be used to label the links.

The relationships indicated in the concept map are read along the direction of the arrows. For example, the relationship between Program and Method(s) is read as, “A Program has (one or more) Method(s)”. The relationships can be ‘one to one’, or of the ‘one to many’ types. In a ‘one to many’ relationship, the concept on the many side is written in plural tense such as Method(s), or Expression(s). The non-arrow side of the relationship is always singular and the arrow side of the relationship can be singular or plural. If the arrow side of the relationship is a plural, then the concept at the arrow side will be indicated in plural tense.

![Figure 2. A small subset of the course concept map showing program composition](image-url)
The selection of meaningful phrases used to label the relationships depends on the intent of the concept map. Since the primary goal of the concept map is to represent the course composition, the words 'has' and 'is a type of' convey the composition, and choices of composition, respectively.

For example, the concept map fragment in Figure 2, shows how programs are composed of some of the concepts. In this concept map fragment, a "program has (one or more) method(s). A method is of type main method. A method has statements, and a statement has/is of type expression(s)." This chain of reasoning indicates the composition of a program that is composed of one or more methods, and this method is in-turn composed of statements, and that these statements are composed of expressions. The 'has' relationship indicates that one concept is composed of another concept. There are two types of relationships between statement(s) and expression(s). A statement is always composed of an expression and some statements only have expressions (and no loops, or branches, for example).

The links that are labeled with 'is a type of' linking phrase indicates 'choices of composition' that the learner must be able to discern for a given problem. This kind of a relationship indicates the need for the learner to discern among several permissible options of program or problem composition. For example, the concept map fragment in Figure 3, shows that every Statement has Expressions, and Expressions can be of many types (such as Assignment, Arithmetic, Logical, Method call, Boolean, and Break). From this one can infer that to compose a statement, one will need to discern among, and choose from, a set of permissible expressions.

Even though a concept map has an overall network-like structure, sections of the concept map can define several types of tree-like, hierarchical relationships between concepts. Appropriate linking phrases can be used to depict these hierarchical relationships. Once such hierarchical relationship that we observe in the concept map depicted in Figure 1, is that of the concept of inheritance between what can be called the 'child’ and ‘parent’. The links labeled using the linking phrase, 'is a type of' relates a 'child’ concept, to a ‘parent’ concept, as shown in Figure 4. For example, the concepts named Selection and Iteration are the child concepts of the parent concept called Statement(s). A single parent may have one or more child concepts and a child concept may in turn be a parent to its own child concept(s).

The 'is a type of' relationship indicates 'inheritance' of pre-requisite topics from the parent node. For example, in Figure 4, the concept called Statement(s) is composed of several concepts such as Expression, Style, Syntax and Comments. All these pre-requisite concepts are inherited by the child nodes – Selection and Iteration. This implies both Selection and Iteration lessons require the lesson on Expressions to be covered a-priori.

The parent concepts are relatively more abstract, as compared to a child concept. This means the child concept provides more concrete course contents and learning implementation of the parent concept. For example, in Figure 3, the Parent concept called Expression(s) are learnt in concrete forms as
Assignment expressions, Arithmetic expressions, etc.

2.3 Inferring the Pre-Requisite Concepts
Each node in the concept map can be considered as one lesson/topic of the course. For example, a typical programming course has a topic called Selection that will introduce the ways to write 'if..else' decision structures in the program. Another example of a commonly taught topic is that of writing various types of Expressions such as an expression for variable assignment, an expression for arithmetic computation, etc.

Even though each lesson can be depicted as a separate node in the concept map, these concepts may require that the learner has mastered one or more pre-requisite concepts. For example, let us take the two concepts – Selection and Expressions, as shown in Figure 4. The two concepts are related to each other indirectly, through the Statement(s) node. The Statement(s) node is related to Expression node through a ‘has’ relationship. For example, to write an ‘if..else’ statement, one needs to know how to write a boolean statement that needs to return a value of true. This return value of the boolean expression will then be used to trigger the code under the ‘if’ block. An ‘if..else’ statement block also may contain various arithmetic operations, or method calls. Thus, the inference that an ‘if..else’ statement is composed of expressions, implies that the lesson on expressions should precede the lesson on Selection.

From the concept map shown in Appendix B, one can infer the order, in which lessons need to be taught, starting with the concepts that require fewer pre-requisites. For example, the lessons are typically taught in the following order: Data Units—Variables and Constants (along with primitive data types), Declaring variables, Expressions, Writing statements that have declaration and expressions, Selection structures, Iteration structures and Methods.

3. INFERRING THE QUALITY OF KNOWLEDGE IMPARTED BY THE COURSE
The focus of the concept map presented in this paper is to reason about the composition of the introductory programming course. Therefore, we will discuss how the structure of this composition impacts the quality of the course. In the rest of this paper, we assume that a quality course meets the required breadth of knowledge and has contents that are designed to promote deeper learning.

3.1 The breadth of the course
The breadth, or the scope of the course can be loosely defined as the number of topics covered in a course, which is typically defined in the course syllabus. The breadth of the course, as depicted by a concept map, can be assessed by the total number of concept nodes present in the map. For the example, the concept map shown in Appendix B, has 40 concepts.

As discussed in the previous section, having a web-like knowledge structure, does not prevent creation of hierarchy, or levels of abstraction. Some of the concepts, for example, the Arithmetic expression, can be further split into an expression with operators such as, addition, subtraction, division, multiplication and modulus. Similarly, the concept called Primitive Data Types can be split into integer, double, character, String, Boolean, etc. In this case the breadth of the concept map will account for all the child nodes that form the body of knowledge.

The breadth of the course only counts the number of concepts covered in the course, but it does not convey how the course imparts knowledge about the interconnectedness of these concepts. The breadth does not convey how densely, or sparsely interconnected these concepts are, in the body of knowledge taught in the course.

3.2 Depth of the course
Compared to the breadth of a course, denseness, or depth, has always been perceived as a qualitative measure that may depend of the ‘level of detail’ taught and assessed for each topic of the course. For example, solution to a ‘dense’ problem may require students to meticulously reason and connect together knowledge acquired from several previously taught topics in a coherent manner. This pre-requisite knowledge may include concepts that are part of the new concept. The pre-requisites may also include those concepts that students need to choose from while composing the new concept. A ‘dense’ problem packs and interconnects several concepts and choices of concepts into the solution. The ability of the learners to form a coherent chain of reasoning that ties several concepts and their choices leads to deeper learning. A concept map explicitly provides the links between various concepts. Therefore, a solution to a dense problem may require students to reason along a ‘chain of links’ that connect various concepts.

Deeper learning occurs when students are able to associate one concept to several other concepts that forms the breadth of the course. For
example, a simple Hello World program that is usually the first program that a student may write in a Java course, actually binds 14 different concepts using the links shown in the full concept map. Figure 5, depicts those concepts. While it is possible, and even sometimes desirable, for novice students to be able to write an initial Hello World program without reasoning through so many concepts, this kind of learning will only promote superficial and rote learning in the long run. Therefore, to promote deeper learning, and mastery of concepts, the learner should be provided with instruction, practice activities, and assessments that will teach how to reason well by identifying the cluster and interconnectedness of concepts that can explain the solutions to the problems.

Figure 6, shows the concepts and the chain of reasoning involved in a simple program involving arithmetic expressions. The chain of reasoning to solve this problem requires students to chain 26 concepts that form a small fragment of the concept map.

The number of a links present in a concept map is a good indicator of the maximum potential depth of the course. It is theoretically possible to create a problem whose solution will require chains of reasoning that comprises the entire concept map. Solutions to smaller problems may constitute a smaller subset of the concept map, comprised of fewer nodes and links.

4.0 IMPLEMENTING A CONCEPT MAP BASED COURSE DESIGN

The concept map depicted in Appendix B was used to assess and re-design a 15-week course on Java Programming. Initial assessment of the course indicated both lack of breadth and depth. The course contents were re-designed after adopting the concept map as the course content schema. In this section we reflect upon the implementation experience and provide a critique on the practical use of concept maps to assess course quality.
3) Using char and String data types, int, double type conversions, math methods, and random numbers
4) Variables
5) Branches. Use of if-else branches. Relational and equality operators, logical operators.
6) Switch statements, Boolean data types.
7) String comparison, access and modify operations, char and conditional expressions.
8) While loop
9) For loop, break
10) Intro to methods, parameters, and return statements.
11) Methods with branches, loops, method name overloading.

All the above-mentioned topics involved case studies that identify the programming constructs required to solve a problem.

The instructors have commonly observed that students tend to learn the code by rote, without being able to see the common patterns or inter-relatedness between concepts that occur in the structure of the program. For example, while writing a loop, many students fail to understand that the looping condition is an expression that returns a Boolean value. While Boolean expressions were previously taught, students may not fully integrate the past lesson into the new concept. As a result, many try to learn the worked out program by rote, and thereby failing to write programs whose pattern may slightly differ from what was shown in code samples. Some of the topics that were perceived to be most difficult were the later topics such as branches/selection, loops/iteration and methods. All the three topics require knowhow of a large number of pre-requisite concepts.

One of the main motivation to re-design the course was to re-create contents that focus on the conceptual knowledge and reasoning required to compose a programming problem and its solution. The re-design would create instructions to explicitly show the relationships between various concepts required to create programs, rather than treat them as isolated topics.

4.2 Using the concept map to assess and re-design the quality of instruction

An initial assessment of the course syllabus showed that the course had the required breadth and covered all the required concepts mentioned in the course syllabus. Additional concepts were added to emphasize the role of pseudocode and various types of sequence of operations that students had to infer from the business problem before writing the program.

The course lectures and assignments were investigated topic-by-topic to see if they have contents that explicitly convey the relationships between various concepts covered in each topic. Additional lecture slides were created to depict the relationships between various concepts involved in the topic. Assessment and code demos were created to illustrate the relationships between concepts. The “has” and “is-a type-of” phrases were used to depict the relationships between concepts.

To promote meaningful learning among students the programming demos expressed a program in-terms of its conceptual composition. All the concepts involved in the problem statements and the programming solutions were explicitly explained during the demo. While none of the programming activities were changed, the instruction was improved by explicitly depicting the concepts and their relationships. Additionally, students were asked to identify the chain of concepts for each problem, as depicted in the examples in Figures 4 and 5.

The initial re-design of instruction had not considerably changed the course sequence and the programming activities. The only change was in the instructional narrative that incorporated the concept map and the program composition methods that included the chaining of concepts as explained in section 3.2. The newly re-designed lectures used the concept map based knowledge representation to bring greater clarity to the lectures and programming demos. The programming activities required students to reason their program using the chain of concepts, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. Students were made to complete worksheets such as the one shown in Figures 5 and 6.

4.3 Evaluating the impact of instruction re-design

In order to evaluate the impact of re-designed instruction, the learning outcomes of the re-designed course was compared with the outcomes from the previous semester. A scoring rubric, as shown in Appendix D was used in both semesters. For comparison purposes scores from six similar assignments were used to compare the effect of the re-designed instruction. In the re-designed course, students were required to spend some time creating a chain of concepts describing the programming solutions to a given problem, as depicted in the examples from Figures 5 and 6.
Each student was scored on a scale from 0 to 5, with 0 being the lowest score, and 5 being the maximum score. The average scores, before and after the course design is depicted for each component of the rubric, shown in Appendix D. The x-axis of each of the charts below shows the assignment number, with assignment 1 requiring knowledge of fewer concepts, and assignment 6, requiring knowledge of more concepts. Figures 7, 8 and 9 shows the rubric items that showed an improvement in learning gains after the instruction was re-designed. Scores were obtained before and after the instructional redesign, for 28, and 26 students, respectively. The average class scores were rounded up to the closest integer value.

**Figure 7**: Average scores of students in their ability to write the order of statements correctly, as required to meet the requirements of the problem.

It can be observed from Figures 7, 8 and 9 that the greatest learning gains due to the re-design of instruction were in the assignments that were more ‘dense’ and packed several concepts into a reasoning chain.

The greatest gains were in the student’s ability to identify and write statements in proper order. Gains were also observed in the ability of students to identify proper type of expressions required to complete statements.

However, students’ ability to write correct expressions to complete the statements didn’t show marked improvement.

**Figure 8**: Average scores of students in their ability to identify the correct type of statements required to solve the problem

**Figure 9**: Average scores of students in their ability to write all the expressions correctly

**Figure 10**: Average scores of students in their ability to identify proper expressions for statements.

In most cases, the statements were incorrect because there were mistakes in the way the expressions were written. Majority of the mistakes were made in complex expression that involved comparison and logical operators. Mistakes were also made in method calls.
Overall, the use of course knowledge representation using concept map guided the instructor to create instructional material that combines conceptual knowledge with the practice of writing programs. The concept map provides students and instructor a common vocabulary and representation to discuss about the concepts. By chaining various inter-related concepts the instructor was able to create instructional materials that makes explicit the systematic chains of reasoning required to compose programs. The effect of introducing a new concept map based instruction was measured through assessment of weekly assignments provided to students. The results of the assessments provided the instructor with useful information about the concepts and chains of reasoning for which improved instruction might be required.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents the possibility of using a concept map to represent the knowledge composition of a course. The concept map based knowledge representation framework was used to map the concepts and their relationships of an introductory programming course. In this paper, the intent of using a concept map was to map the knowledge composition of the course in the form of concepts and their interconnectedness, such that the depiction can be used to create instructional methods that can help students to learn how to compose programs. The structure of the concept map can also inform the course designers about the breadth and depth of the knowledge imparted through the course. Using examples, the authors show how the interrelatedness of concepts can be used to create chains of reasoning to explain programming solutions to different types of programming problems. Consequently, the concept map also helps students to learn meaningfully by enabling them to interconnect various concepts to produce programming solutions.
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Appendix A

Figure A. Tree-like structure of an educational ontology for C-Programming (Sosonovsky & Gavrilova, 2006)
Figure B. Concept Map of an Introductory Java Programming Course
APPENDIX C

A. Objectives of the Course:
Upon completion of this course the student will be able to do the following items using the presently adopted language for this course (Fall 2010: Java):

a) Analyze business case studies and discuss strengths and weaknesses of various potential solutions.
b) Recognize and use problem solving techniques and methods of abstract logical thinking to develop and implement structured solutions of given software design problems.
c) Apply problem solving techniques and design solutions to business problems and implement these solutions by writing computer programs.
d) Write well-structured business programs.
e) Evaluate and debug programs.
f) Work in collaborative groups.

B. Catalog Description:
This course provides students with an understanding of business problems that are typically solved by writing computer programs, problem solving techniques to enable students to design solutions and programming skills learned in a traditional CS1 course. Emphasis is placed on efficient software development for business related problems. Students are required to write, test and run programs. Prerequisite: High School Algebra or Equivalent. Three credits.

C. Outline of the Course:

a) Problem Solving Techniques for Business Problems
   i) Business Case Studies
   ii) Problem Identification and Understanding
   iii) Solution Planning (flowcharts, pseudo-code, etc.)
   iv) Algorithm Development

b) Programming Concepts
   i) Structure of a Program (“Hello World”)
   ii) Constants, variables and data types
   iii) Arithmetic operators
   iv) Relational operators
   v) Logical operators
   vi) Assignment statements
   vii) Input and output
   viii) Selection (if/else and switch)
   ix) Repetition (while, do/while, and for)

c) Strings

d) File Processing

e) Functions (in presently adopted language, “method”)

Figure C. A portion of the prescribed syllabus of the Introductory Programming course.
### APPENDIX D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Rubric Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 1:</td>
<td>Student is able to write the order of statements correctly, as required to meet the requirements of the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 2: Statements with expressions, input and output</td>
<td>Student is able to identify the correct type of statements required to solve the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 3: Statements with variables, expressions, input and output</td>
<td>Student is able to identify the correct type of expressions to compose the statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 4: Statements with if..else / switch, variables, expressions, input and output</td>
<td>Student is able to write all the expressions correctly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 5: Statements with various types of loops, variables, expressions, inputs and outputs</td>
<td>Student is able to correctly identify the variables and its data types required to capture the data in the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 6: Statements with if..else, loops, variables, expressions, inputs and outputs</td>
<td>Student is able to obtain the required inputs, as required by the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 6: Statements with if..else, loops, variables, expressions, inputs and outputs</td>
<td>Student is able to correctly output data as per the problem requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure D**: A sample rubric used to evaluate the learning outcomes of each student in a programming course. This rubric is used to measure a student's ability to write correct programs that meets the requirements of a given business problem.